

# Computational Complexity and Partition Functions

Leslie Ann Goldberg 

Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK  
leslie.goldberg@cs.ox.ac.uk

---

## Abstract

This paper is an extended abstract of my STACS 2019 talk “Computational Complexity and Partition Functions”.

**2012 ACM Subject Classification** Theory of computation

**Keywords and phrases** partition functions, approximation

**Digital Object Identifier** 10.4230/LIPIcs.STACS.2019.1

**Category** Invited Talk

**Funding** The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) ERC grant agreement no. 334828. The paper reflects only the authors’ views and not the views of the ERC or the European Commission. The European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

## 1 Extended Abstract and Annotated Bibliography

A partition function is a polynomial which summarises properties of physical systems such as spin models (for example – the Ising model, the Potts model, the hard core model, or the monomer-dimer model). The talk does not assume knowledge of these models. The goal in the research area is to develop good approximation algorithms for partition functions, and to understand, in terms of the parameters of the models, when the partition functions can be (approximately) evaluated. Typically, a partition function is represented implicitly by a (small) graph, but it has exponentially many terms, so evaluation is non-trivial.

This talk is a survey, designed to introduce results, methods, and open problems in the area. An emerging trend is that, in order to really understand partition functions, it is useful to work over complex numbers, rather than just over the reals. This written extended abstract does not discuss methods – it is meant to serve more as an annotated bibliography – helping somebody who attended the talk to find the relevant papers.

The talk will start by introducing the independence polynomial of a graph, which is the partition function of the hard core model. This is a model of a gas whose particles occupy the vertices of a graph. Particles have non-negligible size (so cannot be adjacent). The model has a parameter  $\lambda$ . The partition function is given by  $Z_G(\lambda) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_G} \lambda^{|I|}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_G$  is the set of independent sets of a graph  $G$  and  $|I|$  is the number of vertices in the independent set  $I$ . We will consider the problem of approximating  $Z_G(\lambda)$ , given a graph  $G$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ .

When  $\lambda$  is a real number, much is known. In particular, the complexity of approximating  $Z_G(\lambda)$  is completely captured by two real-valued thresholds  $\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda_c$  which depend on  $\Delta$  and satisfy  $0 < \lambda^* < \lambda_c$ . In the positive direction,  $Z_G(\lambda)$  is efficiently approximable if  $\lambda$  is in the interval  $(-\lambda^*, \lambda_c)$  [8, 11, 15, 16].

Outside of the interval  $[-\lambda^*, \lambda_c]$ , subject to suitable complexity-theoretic assumptions, no efficient approximation algorithm exists [7, 14]. The talk will discuss the relevance of



© Leslie Ann Goldberg;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

36th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2019).

Editors: Rolf Niedermeier and Christophe Paul; Article No. 1; pp. 1:1–1:3

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics



LIPICs Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany



the thresholds  $-\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda_c$ . In fact, these are the two real points on the boundary of a cardioid-shaped region in the complex plane [12] which is the region in which the “occupation ratio” at the root of a  $\Delta$ -regular tree converges, as the height of the tree grows. (This means that, for parameters  $\lambda$  inside this region, when we consider the model on a  $\Delta$ -regular tree, the contribution to the partition function from independent sets in which the root is occupied converges to some fixed fraction of the value of the total partition function.) Peters and Regts naturally asked whether this cardioid coincides with the region where efficient approximation is possible. It is now known [5] that efficient approximation is impossible outside of the cardioid (subject to complexity-theoretic assumptions). Inside the cardioid, there are many regions where we do have efficient approximation algorithms [4, 8, 11, 12] but the full picture is not fully resolved, so there are plenty of open questions.

Another interesting partition function is the matching polynomial of a graph, which is the partition function of the monomer dimer model. A “monomer” is an unmatched vertex in a matching, and a “dimer” is a pair of matched vertices. The model has a parameter  $\gamma$  and the partition function is given by  $Z_G(\gamma) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_G} \gamma^{|M|}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_G$  is the set of matchings of a graph  $G$  and  $|M|$  is the number of edges in a matching  $M$ . When  $\gamma$  is a positive real, there is an efficient *randomised* approximation algorithm based on Monte Carlo simulation [10]. The applicability of *deterministic* approximation is fully resolved for bounded-degree graphs. It turns out that this is possible for any  $\gamma$  except (subject to complexity assumptions) when  $\gamma$  is a real number less than  $-1/(4(\Delta - 1))$  [3, 6, 11]. The reason that approximation is impossible for these values of  $\gamma$  (and possible elsewhere) is to do with the fact that this is where the zeroes of the polynomial are located [9]. In general, the method of Barvinok [1, 2] leads to efficient approximation algorithms in complex domains where there are no roots, but in turn, the existence of roots sometimes leads to provable intractability. The approximability question has also been investigated when the bounded-degree requirement is relaxed to a notion of average degree, namely the connective constant. For graphs with bounded connective constant, it turns out that efficient approximation is possible everywhere except when  $\gamma$  is a negative real. Moreover, there is a set of values which is dense on the negative real axis where efficient approximation is impossible (subject to complexity assumptions) [6, 13].

There are many interesting partition functions, such as the partition functions of the Ising model and the Potts model, that will not be discussed in the talk. The chosen examples are designed to give a taste of results, methods and open problems in this research area.

---

## References

- 1 A. Barvinok. *Combinatorics and Complexity of Partition Functions*. Algorithms and Combinatorics. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- 2 A. I. Barvinok. Computing the Permanent of (Some) Complex Matrices. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 16(2):329–342, 2016.
- 3 M. Bayati, D. Gamarnik, D. A. Katz, C. Nair, and P. Tetali. Simple deterministic approximation algorithms for counting matchings. In *Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, San Diego, California, USA, June 11-13, 2007*, pages 122–127, 2007.
- 4 Ferenc Bencs and Péter Csikvári. Note on the zero-free region of the hard-core model. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1807.08963, July 2018. [arXiv:1807.08963](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.08963).
- 5 I. Bezáková, A. Galanis, L. A. Goldberg, and D. Štefankovič. Inapproximability of the independent set polynomial in the complex plane. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2018*, pages 1234–1240, 2018. Full version available from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.00282>.

- 6 Ivona Bezáková, Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, and Daniel Štefankovič. The complexity of approximating the matching polynomial in the complex plane. *CoRR*, abs/1807.04930, 2018. [arXiv:1807.04930](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.04930).
- 7 Andreas Galanis, Leslie Ann Goldberg, and Daniel Štefankovič. Inapproximability of the Independent Set Polynomial Below the Shearer Threshold. In *44th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2017, July 10-14, 2017, Warsaw, Poland*, pages 28:1–28:13, 2017. [doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2017.28](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2017.28).
- 8 Nicholas J. A. Harvey, Piyush Srivastava, and Jan Vondrák. Computing the Independence Polynomial: from the Tree Threshold down to the Roots. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2018, New Orleans, LA, USA, January 7-10, 2018*, pages 1557–1576, 2018. [doi:10.1137/1.9781611975031.102](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975031.102).
- 9 O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb. Theory of monomer-dimer systems. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 25(3):190–232, 1972.
- 10 M. Jerrum and A. Sinclair. Approximating the Permanent. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 18(6):1149–1178, 1989.
- 11 Viresh Patel and Guus Regts. Deterministic Polynomial-Time Approximation Algorithms for Partition Functions and Graph Polynomials. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 46(6):1893–1919, 2017. [doi:10.1137/16M1101003](https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1101003).
- 12 Han Peters and Guus Regts. On a conjecture of Sokal concerning roots of the independence polynomial. *CoRR*, abs/1701.08049, 2017. [arXiv:1701.08049](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.08049).
- 13 A. Sinclair, P. Srivastava, D. Štefankovič, and Y. Yin. Spatial mixing and the connective constant: optimal bounds. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 168(1):153–197, 2017.
- 14 Allan Sly and Nike Sun. Counting in two-spin models on d-regular graphs. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(6):2383–2416, November 2014. [doi:10.1214/13-AOP888](https://doi.org/10.1214/13-AOP888).
- 15 Piyush Srivastava. Approximating the hard core partition function with negative activities. Manuscript, available at [www.its.caltech.edu/~piyushs/](http://www.its.caltech.edu/~piyushs/), April 2015.
- 16 Dror Weitz. Counting Independent Sets Up to the Tree Threshold. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '06*, pages 140–149, New York, NY, USA, 2006. ACM. [doi:10.1145/1132516.1132538](https://doi.org/10.1145/1132516.1132538).