One of the highlights of the book is the way in which the authors analyse the teachers’ efforts in promoting application in their classroom activities. After each case study, the authors consider five questions, namely:

1) What is the nature of the activities?
2) How were these activities meant to promote application?
3) What “theories of application” were held by the teachers?
4) What did the teachers actually do to promote application?
5) What opportunities did the activity present for developing process skills (decision making, communicating about mathematics, reasoning)?

I would add a sixth question here, namely, “What is the mathematics that is being learned through the activity? Does the mathematics comprise powerful ideas that all children can access?” I believe this question is especially important, given that some of the activities cited in the book have rather limited mathematical scope.

Nevertheless, the authors do a good job of analysing each of the case studies and show why some activities, such as Claire’s “Wine gums” investigation, were successful, and why others were not so successful. From these analyses, the authors raise a number of significant issues and dilemmas, which have confronted teachers for many years now. These include the following:

1) How far can we go in introducing “real life” into the classroom? How far should we go? Here, the authors make the important point that there is the ever-present tension within an activity between its existence in the “real world” and the features it must adopt in the classroom environment; this tension may hinder rather than help the intended functions of the activity.

2) How far and in what ways should teachers intervene to promote application?

3) With regard to the “application” activities that teachers implement, to what extent do they provide genuine opportunities for application, in contrast to exercises that simply promote practice of particular skills?

4) How can we maximise opportunities for children to explain their reasoning? In contrast to the Japanese lessons, where children’s explanations were a natural component, the UK teachers tended to give little attention to children explaining their reasoning.

Although the authors do a good job of addressing the above issues and dilemmas, I would like to have seen further elaboration on some of the key points. For example, the authors mention the importance of designing novel tasks that involve children in analysing the nature of the problem. The importance of children identifying the mathematical structure of problems and identifying related structures cannot be over-emphasised. One of the major impediments to children applying their mathematical learning is their failure to look beyond the surface features of a task to consider the underlying mathematical qualities.

Nevertheless, the strengths of Numeracy and Beyond far outweigh any of its deficiencies. I recommend the book to anyone who is concerned about the mathematical learning of our young population.
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